UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,603	06/19/2006	Nicolai Bohm	101769344WCG	9179
	7590 04/09/200 AUGHLIN & MARC		EXAMINER  KOKKINOS, NICHOLAS C  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1794	INER
875 THIRD AVE			KOKKINOS, NICHOLAS C	
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/568,603	BOHM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NICHOLAS KOKKINOS	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this c ⊃ (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
·—	<del>_</del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	in from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lastian requirement					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	nection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	s have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(a)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [ Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/568,603 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6 and 10-15, drawn to a double-sidedly pressure sensitive adhesive tape.

Group II, claim(s) 7-9 and 16, drawn to a method for the adhesive bonding and residue-free and damage-free redetachment.

- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: evidence of lack of unity between the groups found in USPN 6,365,254 to Zoller et al.
- 5. Zoller et al. teaches a double sided pressure sensitive adhesive tape (*column 1*, *lines 16-20 and column 2*, *lines 19-21*) with sides that differ in adhesive strength (*column 1*, *lines 36-42*). The more weakly adhering pressure sensitive adhesive (*roll stability layer 14*) is an ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer with a vinyl acetate content that overlaps applicant's claimed range of 25-92 wt% (*column 5*, *lines 22-42*).
- 6. The above outlined structure of Zoller et al. teaches the entirety of instant claim
- 1. The subject matter of claim 1 is the corresponding technical feature common among

Application/Control Number: 10/568,603

Art Unit: 1794

groups I and II. As such, the corresponding technical feature is not a corresponding special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art.

Page 3

- 7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

  Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

  All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.
- 8. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,603 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 10. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 12. A telephone call was made to William Gerstenzang on 4 February 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

## CONCLUSION

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NICHOLAS KOKKINOS whose telephone number is (571) 270-7384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,603 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Callie Shosho can be reached on (571) 272-1123. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nicholas Kokkinos/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794 31 March 2009

/Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794